American River Flood Control District Central Valley Flood Protection Board Permit Application Sump 159-2 Modifications (City of Sacramento) Staff Report

Discussion:

The City of Sacramento submitted this encroachment permit application to modify facilities at their Sump 159 Pump Station. The work proposed is to remove and replace approximately 40 ft of one (1) 36" welded steel pipe and approximately 30 ft of one (1) 24" welded steel pipe at the pump discharge location and outfall structure.

Sump 159 is located adjacent to the Arcade Creek South Levee and just west of the bike trail bridge crossing.

These modifications are required to upgrade the pipe outfall system at the pump station. Recent work conducted by SAFCA under the North Area Streams Project replaced only the segments of pipe that crossed through the levee. This work will complete the upgrade to the facility by replacing the pipe discharge sections at the pumps and also the pipe outfall sections and flap gates.

These modifications will be routine construction installations and do not present considerable engineering challenges. It is not anticipated that this work will pose significant operations and maintenance impacts to the District.

Recommendation:

The General Manager recommends that the Board of Trustees endorse the CVFPB permit application.

APPLICATION FOR A CENTRAL VALLEY FLOOD PROTECTION BOARD ENCROACHMENT PERMIT

				Application No.
				(For Office Use Only)
Sump 159 Mo		lace approxima	tely 40 ft of one (1) 36"	vered under the issued permit. welded steel pipe and approximately 30 cture.
Project Location:	Sacramento		_ County, in Section	on See Attachment A
Township	See Attachment A	(N) (S), Range:	See Attachment A	(E) _ (W), M. D. B. & M.
Latitude:	38.62504	Longitude:	-121.44762	_
Stream:	Arcade Creek	, Levee :	Left Bank	Designated Floodway: Arcade Creek
APN:	See Attachment A	_		
3. Raymond			of _1395 35th Ave	
	Name of Applicant / Land Or	wner		Address
Sacramento	CA		95822	(916) 808-1435
City	1	State	Zip Code	Telephone Number
				RKong@cityofsacramento.org
				E-mail
4. Ashley Smit	th PE		of Peterson Brusta	d Inc
4 .	Name of Applicant's Represer	ntative	01 100000112111000	Company
Folsom	CA		95630	(916) 608-2212 x 123
City		State	Zip Code	Telephone Number
				asmith@pbieng.com
				E-mail
	nt of the proposed project fro			
We, the Truste	ees of American River Flood Name	of LMA	approve this p	plan, subject to the following conditions:
☐ Conditi	ons listed on back of this for	m 🗌 Co	enditions Attached	☐ No Conditions
Trustee		Date	Trustee	Date
Trustee	***************************************	Date	Trustee	Date

APPLICATION FOR A CENTRAL VALLEY FLOOD PROTECTION BOARD ENCROACHMENT PERMIT

6. Names and addresses of adjacent property owners sharing a common boundary with the land upon which the contents of this application apply. If additional space is required, list names and addresses on back of the application form or an attached sheet.

Name		Address	Zip Code
See Att	achment A		
			A Section 1
	s an environmental determination bee t of 1970?	n made of the proposed work under the Califor ☑ No ☑ Pending	nia Environmental Quality
City of 9	or pending, give the name and address Sacramento oth Avenue ento, CA 95822	s of the lead agency and State Clearinghouse	Number:
SCH N	o. Pending		
8. Wh	en is the project scheduled for constru	ction? April 2021	
9. Plea	ase check exhibits accompanying this	application	
A.		ng the location of the proposed work.	
В.	✓ Drawings showing plan view(s) of	f the proposed work to include map scale.	
C.		on dimensions and elevations (vertical datum?) of levees, berms, stream
D.	☑ Drawings showing the profile eleventh	vations (vertical datum?) of levees, berms, floor	d plain, low flow, etc.
E.	A minimum of four photographs d	lepicting the project site.	
		Signature of Applicant	Date

Include any additional information:

A summary of the Project and a description of the proposed methods are provided in Attachment A. Attachment B includes relevant plan sheets which are an excerpt from a larger plan set. Attachment C includes the categorical permission checklist. The intent of this application is to modify the existing encroachment permit. The existing Permit number for Sump 159 is 3216-1.

Attachment A – Summary of Proposed Work

CITY OF SACRAMENTO PUMP OUTFALLS PROJECT:

CENTRAL VALLEY FLOOD PROTECTION BOARD ENCROACHMENT PERMIT

SUMMARY OF PROPOSED WORK

September 15, 2020

INTRODUCTION

As mandated by the Sacramento Area Flood Control Agency (SAFCA) and the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE), sump station outfalls that penetrate and cross major levees are inspected on a 5-year cycle. The project entails the complete replacement of the pump discharge for three (3) drainage sump station facilities and partial replacement of the pump discharge pipe for five (5) drainage sump station facilities. The following information pertains to a partial replacement sump (Sump 159) that will need an updated Encroachment Permit from the CVFPB.

APN Parcels

Sump	Existing Permit #	APN
159	3216-1	263-0260-010-0000, 263-0010-016-0000

ADJACENT PARCELS

All of the parcels adjacent to the Sump to be modified are listed in the table presented below as provided by the Sacramento County Assessor's Office.

APN	APN Address Owner		Owner Address	City	Zip
250-0270-009-0000	ALTOS AVE	CITY OF SACRAMENTO	915 ST FL5	SACRAMENTO	95814
250-0270-012-0000	ALTOS AVE	UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD CO	1400 DOUGLAS ST 1640	ОМАНА	68179
251-0291-011-0000	RIO LINDA BLVD	AMERICAN RIVER FLOOD CONTROL DIST	165 COMMERCE CIR UNIT D	SACRAMENTO	95815
251-0291-012-0000	3201 RIO LINDA BLVD	CONIGLIO 2007 REVOCABLE TRUST	3201 RIO LINDA BLVD	SACRAMENTO	95815
251-0291-018-0000	RIO LINDA BLVD	SACTO & SAN JOAQUIN DRAINAGE DIS	3310 EL CAMINO AVE	SACRAMENTO	95821
263-0010-015-0000	FAIRBANKS AVE	SACTO & SAN JOAQUIN DRAINAGE DIS	3310 EL CAMINO AVE	SACRAMENTO	95821

263-0041-019-0000	813 ARCADE BLVD	SHARON LEE NAYLOR	813 ARCADE BLVD	SACRAMENTO	95815
263-0046-001-0000	3148 ALTOS AVE	IVAN PARRA	3148 ALTOS AVE	SACRAMENTO	95815
263-0046-002-0000	808 ARCADE BLVD	RUBEN O LUA	808 ARCADE BLVD	SACRAMENTO	95815
263-0260-020-0000	TRACTION AVE	CITY OF SACRAMENTO	915 I ST FL5	SACRAMENTO	95814
265-0011-001-0000 3141 RIO LINDA BLVD S		SMUD	PO BOX 15830	SACRAMENTO	95852
265-0011-002-0000 3139 RIO LINDA BLVD		JAMES RODARAKIS	205 ARCADE BLVD	SACRAMENTO	95815

TOWNSHIP AND RANGE INFORMATION

Note that gaps exist in Townships and Ranges within the project area. Land not covered by T9N R5E has been in private ownership since before California joined the United States and therefore is not part of the Township and Range system, which was a survey of federal lands.

SITE PHOTOGRAPHS

Attached to this Summary of Proposed Work are photographs showing levee and channel areas representative of proposed work sites.




Figure 1: Sump 159 looking North West



Figure 2: Landside slope near Sump 159




Figure 3: Siphon breaker vaults on levee crest near Sump 159





Figure 4: View looking southwest toward the Sump 159 outfall into Arcade Creek


Attachment B - Plan Sheets


(Excerpt from larger plan set for Pump Outfalls Replacement Project – A)













TYPICAL SLOPE BENCHING NOTES:

- 1. PLACE FILL IN HORIZONTAL LIFTS AGAINST VERTICAL FACES CUT INTO EXISTING LEVEE MATERIAL.
- 2. THE BOTTOM OF THE KEY TRENCH SHALL BE SCARIFIED TO A DEPTH OF 8 INCHES AND RECOMPACTED TO 95% MAX DENSITY PER ASTM D698.

SLOPE BENCHING (LANDSIDE OR WATERSIDE) DETAIL







TYPICAL REMOVAL OF EXISTING PIPE DETAIL

INSTALLATION OF NEW PIPE DETAIL

FIELD BOOK REVISIONS BENCH MARK ELEV. DESCRIPTION:

CITY OF SACRAMENTO DEPARTMENT OF UTILITIES

IMPROVEMENT PLANS FOR: PUMP OUTFALLS REPLACEMENT PROJECT

MISCELLANEOUS DETAILS II

Page 17

65% SUBMITTAL

41

30615

≷

OD + 2' MIN OR 2 x OD

ON ORIGINAL SCALE DRAWING ADJUST SCALED DIMENSIONS IF THIS DOES NOT SCALE AT 1" DRAWN BY: E. TUTEJA DATE: 09/15/20

DESIGNED BY: B. JENSEN R.C.E. NO. <u>C90949</u> DATE: <u>03/31/22</u>

CHECKED BY: A. SMITH R.C.E. NO. <u>C86512</u> DATE: <u>03/31/21</u> Attachment C – Categorical Permission Checklist

Categorical Permission Alteration Description – 16. Pressurized Pipes

The categorical permission covers the installation, modification, and replacement of pressurized pipes that comply with certain terms and conditions. Particularly, all pressurized pipes must be designed and installed in accordance with current USACE standards. The total area of disturbance, including staging and access areas, must not exceed 5 acres. Pressurized pipes must also be designed to prevent, (1) flotation from uplift, (2) scour or erosion, (3) damage from debris on the waterside, particularly during flood flows, (4) leakage, (5) seepage along proposed pipes, (6) corrosion, and (7) damage from vehicular loads.

All new pressurized pipes should go up and over the levee DWSE. Pressurized pipes passing over or within the freeboard zone of a levee (i.e., above the levee DWSE), should be made of metal, preferably ductile iron or coated steel, suitable for use with flexible couplings.

Backfill under and around (to 1 foot over) the proposed pipe must be controlled low-strength material (CLSM). Pipes that pass above the DWSE must have 2 feet of cover (low permeability or CLSM) to prevent damage by vehicles and equipment. Cover material on the levee crown must be placed at a ratio of 10H:1V, in the upstream/downstream direction of the levee. Pipes on the sides of the levee should be covered with a minimum of 1 foot of low permeability material, compacted in 4- to 6-inch lifts or CLSM to protect them from debris during high water (waterside) or to keep them from interfering with or being damaged by operations or maintenance of the levee (landside). Fill must be free of deleterious materials and construction debris and placed in 4- to 6-inch-thick loose lifts and compacted to not less than 95% of the maximum density at moistures between -2 and +3 percent of optimum moisture content obtained from ASTM D698 (USACE preferred method), or alternately, 90% of the maximum density at moistures between -2 and +3 percent of optimum moisture content obtained from ASTM D1557. At the sponsor and levee maintaining agency's discretion, pipes on the levee slopes may be left exposed.

Only suitable material must be used as levee fill materials. Fill must be free from: roots and other organic matter, contaminated hazardous or toxic material, trash, debris, and frozen materials. Satisfactory fill material must have a plasticity index between 8 and 25, have a liquid limit less than 45, a minimum fines content of 20%, and 100% passing the 3-inch sieve.

Pressurized pipes terminating in the channel require a positive closure device on the waterside that is accessible from the levee crown. Pressurized pipes transporting product completely across or through the federal project easement require positive closure devices located landward of any levees and channel. The positive closure device shall be located within one mile on both sides of the federal project. If the invert of the pipe is over the levee crown, the combination of a pump station on the waterside and a siphon breaker is considered an appropriate means of closure. Pipes located within or beneath a levee must have watertight joints that can accommodate movements resulting from settlement.

All pressurized pipes that cross the levee foundation at a depth less than or equal to two times the height of the levee should be evaluated for uplift. Pipes crossing the surface of the levee must be designed to counteract buoyancy forces of an empty pipe, with water at the DWSE.

Pressurized pipelines running parallel to flood risk management projects should be located at least 15 feet beyond the levee toes. Pipe location and orientation must be clearly marked in the field so they can be easily identified for flood fighting crews.

If appropriate, the requester should prepare an excavation plan demonstrating the effects of excavation on the stability of the embankments.

The site layout should provide adequate access for maintenance vehicles to refill fuel tanks and service/replace pumps, generators, etc. Pressurized pipes must also allow easy access for rapid closure in the event of leakage or rupture.

No plastic pipes (HDPE, PVC, etc.) are allowed in the levee embankment or its foundation unless they are embedded in concrete.

If an electrochemical or chemical reaction between the substratum or groundwater and pipe materials is expected, the pipe and pipe couplings must be protected.

After installation of pressurized pipes, the requester must demonstrate 0% pipe leakage in pipes in the levee. Pipes must be pressure tested to industry standards. Pipes must be regularly inspected, including the interior, if possible, looking for signs of maintenance issues. If an inspection indicates corrosion, alignment sag or heave, or separation at joints, corrective action must be taken as soon as possible to avoid failure. Pipe valves must be periodically inspected and pressure tested to ensure that they are functioning properly. Pressure tests must show no significant loss in pressure. Leaks and other deficiencies must be addressed as soon as possible. All replacement parts must be of equivalent or better quality than those being replaced.

The preferred method for abandoning pipes that pass through or over a levee is complete removal. If removal is not feasible, the pipes and other structures may be filled with a cement/bentonite-based grout or flowable fill. The grout needs to be sufficiently fluid so that it can be pumped to completely fill the pipe leaving no voids.

Categorical Permission Alteration Checklist – 16. Pressurized Pipes

Note: The following checklist is intended for planning purposes only, and includes information that USACE reviewers look for when considering a Section 408 request for pressurized pipes under the Categorical Permission. To be reviewed under the Categorical Permission, the proposed project must adhere to all requirements of the Categorical Permission, including the full alteration description (see previous page). The plans and narrative project description should reflect this information.

1.	☐ New Construction	⊠ Replacement	☐ Modification	☐ Authorize Exi	isting
2.	Maximum total area of	disturbance is 5 acres:		Yes ⊠	No □
	Reference: [Click to enter docu	ıment source. Example – plan she	eet (p. 4), specs, report.]		
	Comment: Area of distu				
3.	Pipes are designed to p				
	waterside (particularly o	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	page along proposed p	•	•
	damage from vehicular			Yes ⊠	No □
	Reference: Refer to deta	<u>.</u>			
	Comment: [Click to enter ratio	nale, explanation, unique situation	n, etc.]		
4.	Backfill under and arou	and (to 1 foot over) the	proposed pipe must be	e controlled low-str	ength
	material (CLSM):			Yes ⊠	No □
	Reference: Refer to det	<u>ail 3 on sheet 41</u>			
	Comment: [Click to enter ratio	nale, explanation, unique situation	n, etc.]		
5.	Pipes passing over the	DWSE will have a min	imum of 2 feet of cover	(low permeability	or CLSM):
				Yes □	N/A ⊠
	Reference: [Click to enter docu	ıment source. Example – plan she	eet (p. 4), specs, report.]		
	Comment: [Click to enter ratio	nale, explanation, unique situation	n, etc.]		
6.	If material must be add				
	10H:1V horizontal to ve		downstream direction to	-	-
	effect and facilitate veh			Yes □	N/A ⊠
	Reference: [Click to enter docu	ıment source. Example – plan she	eet (p. 4), specs, report.]		
	Comment:		1 2 1 1	· II AOTA DOG	
7.	Fill will be compacted to		•	•	
	between -2 and +3% of	•		Yes ⊠	No □
	Reference: Refer to S				
	Comment: [Click to enter ratio				201 01
8.	Satisfactory fill material			•	
	45, a minimum fines co		. •	eve: Yes ⊠	No □
	Reference: Refer to Sp				
	Comment: [Click to enter ratio			\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	N1/A 🗔
9.	All fill will be free of org	• • •		Yes ⊠	N/A □
	Reference: Refer to S	pec section 31 00 00 3	3.9.B.1.6.		
	Comment: [Click to enter ratio	nale, explanation, unique situation	n, etc.]		

10.	Pipes terminating in the channel have a positive closure device	on the wate	rside that is	accessible
	from the levee crown:		Yes □	N/A ⊠
	Reference: [Click to enter document source. Example – plan sheet (p. 4), specs, report.]			
	Comment: [Click to enter rationale, explanation, unique situation, etc.]			
11.	Pipes transporting product completely across the federal project	t have a po		
	located within 1 mile on both sides of the federal project:		Yes □	N/A ⊠
	Reference: [Click to enter document source. Example – plan sheet (p. 4), specs, report.]			
	Comment: [Click to enter rationale, explanation, unique situation, etc.]			
12.	Pipes located within or beneath a levee have watertight joints the	nat can acc		
	resulting from settlement:		Yes ⊠	No □
	Reference: Refer to detail 2 on Sheet 40			
	Comment: [Click to enter rationale, explanation, unique situation, etc.]			
13.	Pipes crossing the surface of the levee are designed to countera	act buoyand	v forces of a	in empty
	pipe, with water at the DWSE:	,	yes ⊠	N/A □
	Reference: Refer to detail 3 on sheet 41			
	Comment: [Click to enter rationale, explanation, unique situation, etc.]			
14.	Pipe location and orientation will be clearly marked in the field:		Yes ⊠	No □
	Reference: pipe location and orientation can be identified by vaul	t structure	and outfall lo	cation
	Comment: [Click to enter rationale, explanation, unique situation, etc.]			
15.	Pipes will allow easy access for rapid closure:		Yes ⊠	No □
	Reference: [Click to enter document source. Example – plan sheet (p. 4), specs, report.]			
	Comment: Positive valve closures on the levee crest will ensure	easy acces	s for rapid c	losure.
16.	Plastic pipes within the levee embankment or its foundation are	-		
	• •		Yes □	N/A ⊠
	Reference: [Click to enter document source. Example – plan sheet (p. 4), specs, report.]			
	Comment: [Click to enter rationale, explanation, unique situation, etc.]			
17.	If a chemical or electrochemical reaction is expected, the pipe a	and pipe co	uplings must	be
	protected:		Yes □	N/A ⊠
	Reference: [Click to enter document source. Example – plan sheet (p. 4), specs, report.]			
	Comment: [Click to enter rationale, explanation, unique situation, etc.]			
18.	Any work within the levee embankment or foundation?		Yes □	No ⊠
	Reference: [Click to enter document source. Example – plan sheet (p. 4), specs, report.]			
	Comment: [Click to enter rationale, explanation, unique situation, etc.]			
19.	Any work ≤50 feet beneath the channel invert?		Yes □	No ⊠
	Reference: [Click to enter document source. Example – plan sheet (p. 4), specs, report.]			
	Comment: [Click to enter rationale, explanation, unique situation, etc.]			
20.	Hydraulic blockage calculation ≥1%?	Yes □	No □	N/A ⊠
	Reference: [Click to enter document source. Example – plan sheet (p. 4), specs, report.]			
	Comment: [Click to enter rationale, explanation, unique situation, etc.]			
21.	Hydraulic model used for hydraulic analysis?	Yes □	No □	N/A ⊠
	Reference: [Click to enter document source. Example – plan sheet (p. 4), specs, report.]			
	Comment: [Click to enter rationale, explanation, unique situation, etc.]			

_	- For Official Use Only below this line –	
Comment		
CD Eligibility Povious		

CP Eligibility Review

<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	Add'l. Info Requested		
			Environmental Reviewer:	Date: Click date
			Engineering Reviewer:	Date: Click date